ADVERSE DRUG REACTION MONITORING AMONG HYPERTENSIVE PATIENTS OF TERTIARY CARE CENTER OF NORTH INDIA RELATED TO ANTIHYPERTENSIVE DRUGS
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INTRODUCTION

With the advent of newer medicines and evolution of the science, the numbers of treatment options for a single disease have increased. Therefore, every drug in the therapeutic area poses both benefits and potential threat for causing severe side effects. At times, these side effects are preventable and a timely reporting of same can avoid unwanted health hazards and save millions of lives. An initiative made in the same direction was to design and implement adverse event reporting systems by individual nations and then was adopted by the whole world either unanimously with global organizations or individualizing their own reporting system. Pharmacovigilance is entirely about monitoring adverse drug reactions (A.D.Rs.) and hence was defined as “The detection in the community of drug effects, usually adverse” [1].

Hypertension is a global public health issue. It is an important public health concern because of its associated morbidity, mortality, and economic impact on the society. Overall prevalence of hypertension in India reported 29.8% [2]. Nearly 1 billion people have high blood pressure (hypertension) globally; out of these, developing countries having two-thirds of patients. Premature deaths worldwide are mostly caused by hypertension and the disease is spontaneously growing with the estimation of 1.56 billion adults will be living with hypertension in 2025 [3]. India also facing substantial public health burden on cardiovascular health and health-care systems [4-6]. Approximately 57% of all stroke deaths and 24% of all coronary heart disease deaths in India are directly by hypertension. Even the WHO also rates 57% of all stroke deaths and 24% of all coronary heart disease deaths in India are directly by hypertension. Even the WHO also rates hypertension as one of the most important causes of premature deaths worldwide [5-10]. The present study is conducted to monitor the A.D.Rs. associated with antihypertensive drugs.

METHODS

All patients coming to the department with blood pressure systolic above 120 mmHg and diastolic above 90 mmHg and prescribed hypertensives will be screened for the study. Those who satisfy the inclusion criteria will be enrolled after taking written informed consent. Diagnosed patients of hypertension above the age of 18 years, and diagnosed with primary hypertension, will be included in the study.

The various study tools that will be used are the suspected ADR reporting form issued by Central Drugs Standard Control Organization under Pharmacovigilance Programme of India which will record all the information, such as name, age, sex, weight, other relevant history including pre-existing medical conditions, details of suspected ADRs, and details of suspected medications that the patients might be taking. A.D.Rs. reporting form records all the essential information regarding the adverse effects: The onset and severity of the A.D.R. experienced, the impact of A.D.Rs. on the treatment and work capacity of the patient, the drug(s) involved, the date of starting the suspected drugs, and details of suspected medications that the patients might be taking. A.D.Rs. reporting form records all the essential information regarding the adverse effects: The onset and severity of the A.D.R. experienced, the impact of A.D.Rs. on the treatment and work capacity of the patient, the drug(s) involved, the date of starting the suspected drugs, and details of suspected medications that the patients might be taking.

Data management and analysis

Data will be aggregated according to disease profile and other relevant information required for the study. Causality assessment was done using Naranjo’s causality assessment scale [11].

For patients on antihypertensive treatment

Inclusion criteria

The following criteria were included in the study:

• Newly diagnosed patients of hypertension with blood pressure above 120/90 mm Hg

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A total of 136 patients were observed during the study. Out of 136 patients, 23 (17%) A.D.Rs. were recorded. A study conducted by Ramesh et al. in the Indian capital reports that 22.3% of the patients experienced A.D.Rs.

Conclusion: Furthermore, any appearance of A.D.Rs. due to side effects of the drugs or due to bad control and patients non-compliance, it was treated mainly by decreasing the doses of the drugs, switching them to another active substance from the same pharmacological group, or by adding more active substances from different pharmacological groups in lower dosages to achieve the B.P goals.

Keywords: Adverse drug reaction, Monitoring, Hypertensive patients, Tertiary care center, India, Antihypertensive drugs.
Patients with age more than 18 years
Patients of either sex
Patients having baseline (pre-treatment) biochemical parameters other than blood sugar (i.e., liver function test and kidney function test) within normal range
Patients having no associated comorbidities

Exclusion criteria
The following criteria were excluded from the study:
Patients who were unwilling to participate and did not give consent in the study
Patients who were unable to give interview
Patients with incomplete medical records
Patients with chronic liver disease such as cirrhosis, chronic hepatitis, and acute viral hepatitis
Terminally ill patients
Patients with concurrent major psychiatric illness and/or concurrent major medical illnesses.

Naranjo’s causality assessment scale
The Naranjo’s criteria classify the probability that an adverse event is related to drug therapy based on a list of weighted questions, which examine factors such as the temporal association of drug administration and event occurrence, alternative causes for the event, drug levels, dose-response relationships, and previous patient experience with the medication.

The A.D.Rs. are assigned to a probability category from the total score as follows:
Definite if the overall score is 9 or greater; probable for a score of 5–8, possible for 1–4, and doubtful if the score is 0.

The Naranjo’s criteria do not take into account drug-drug interactions. Drugs are evaluated individually for causality, and points deducted if another factor may have resulted in the adverse event, thereby weakening the causal association.

Statistical analysis
Categorical variables will be presented in number and percentage (%). Qualitative variables will be compared using Chi-square test/Fisher’s exact test as appropriate. p<0.05 will be considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad QuickCalc software available online at http://graphpad.com/quickcalcs/. The data will be entered into MS EXCEL spreadsheet and analysis will be done using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 21.0.

RESULTS
The present study was an open and non-comparative, based on a questionnaire (A.D.R. monitoring form) drafted according to Naranjo’s A.D.R. monitoring guidelines, which included data relating to patient demographic details (age, sex, height, weight, and body mass index [BMI]), medical history, present drug treatment, description, assessment, and treatment of A.D.Rs. The study protocol was approved by Institutional Medical Ethics Committee, Rama Medical College and Research Centre, Kanpur, U.P. The present study was conducted between June 01, 2018 and November 30, 2018 by attending the medicine OPD on a daily basis. A total of 23 A.D.Rs. were observed in 136 patients during the study.

A.D.R. monitoring of antihypertensive medication
Twenty-three A.D.Rs. were observed in 136 patients of mean age 49.6±12 years; mean BMI 25.7±6.1 kg/m². A higher percentage of A.D.Rs. occurred in males (52%). A total of 7 (31.8%) were observed in the patient age group of 41–50 years, followed by 6 (27.2%) in 31–40 years, 5 (22.7%) in 51–60 years, and 2 and 3 A.D.Rs. in each of 61–70 years and >70 years age groups.

Only two A.D.Rs. were observed in hypertensive patients suffering from concomitant disease (one each with diabetes mellitus and asthma). Combination therapy was associated with significantly high occurrence (p<0.05) of A.D.Rs., with a total of 16 (69.7%) as compared to monotherapy (n=7, 30.43%).

Furthermore, most patients had an experience of ADRs due to pharmacotherapy. More specific 72% of study population had an experience of one A.D.R., 18% had an experience of two A.D.Rs., and only 8% had experience of three A.D.Rs. (Fig. 1).

On the causality scale of Naranjo’s, 7 (30%) A.D.Rs. were classified probable, 13 (56%) possible, 1 (4.3%) definite, and 2 (13 %) doubtful (Fig. 2).

In our study, we found that calcium channel blockers (CCBs) are the most common groups of drugs to treat the hypertensive patients, then followed by beta-blockers and diuretics. CCBs accounted for 34.78% of total A.D.Rs. followed by beta-blockers (26.08%), angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors (17.39%), angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) (8.69%), and diuretics (4.3%).

Among individual drugs, amlodipine was the drug associated with maximum A.D.Rs., including pedal edema, general edema, giddiness, headache, and abdominal pain. Beta-blockers, namely, atenolol, metoprolol, and nebivolol were the next which reported A.D.Rs. such as hypotension, headache, impotence, and bronchospasm. ACE inhibitors and ARBs were associated with dry cough, taste alteration. Patients on diuretics reported least number of A.D.Rs. (Table 1). Furthermore, 5% of patients with other concomitant disease like dyslipidemia showed myalgia and increased CPK levels due to statins which was an additive to antihypertensive therapy, and the rest of A.D.Rs., each of them accounts 1% or less of the total study population.

The A.D.Rs. associated with central nervous system were found to be most frequent in our study followed by cardiovascular A.D.Rs.,
gastrointestinal A.D.Rs. (abdominal pain, constipation, and diarrhea), and respiratory system (Fig. 3).

The most common systems associated with A.D.Rs. in our study were the central nervous system, followed by cardiovascular system, gastrointestinal system, and respiratory and dermatological system (Fig. 4).

**DISCUSSION**

We studied 136 patients on antihypertensive medications and observed the incidence and pattern of ADRs in them. Out of 136 patients, 23 (17%) A.D.Rs. were recorded. A study conducted by Ramesh et al. [12-15] in the Indian capital reports that 22.3% of the patients experienced A.D.Rs. Another report on A.D.R. monitoring in North India by Garg and Singhal [15] mentions that 5.9% of all visits to the medical department are drug related, and A.D.Rs. accounted for 45% of events which depicts a higher percentage of A.D.Rs.

According to the Naranjo's causality scale, 30% (7) of cases were classified probable, 56% (13) of cases possible, 13% of cases were doubtful, and 4% of cases fell in the definite category. This result is similar to studies done by Khurshid [15,16].

Out of 23 A.D.Rs. recorded in antihypertensive patients, 34.78% (8 out of 23) was observed in patients prescribed CCBs, 26.08% (7 out of 23) in patients prescribed on beta-blockers, 17.39% (4 out of 23) by ACE inhibitors, 8.69% (2 out of 23) by ARBs, and 4.35 by diuretics is responsible for causing A.D.R.

A.D.Rs. of particular drugs can influence compliance of antihypertensive agents, because they frequently decrease quality of life, which is important by asymptomatic disease. Therefore, we were looking for physicians notice in health documentation regarding A.D.Rs.

Any appearance of A.D.Rs. due to side effects of the drugs or due to bad control and patient's non-compliance (refuse to follow doctors' instructions or forgetting to take the medicine), it was treated mainly by decreasing the doses of the drugs, switching them to another.

### Table 1: % of A.D.R. experienced by patients receiving respective classes of antihypertensive drugs and other concomitant drugs if any (figure in bracket denotes the total number of episodes reported due to a particular class of drug)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Therapeutic class of drug</th>
<th>Drugs</th>
<th>Suspected A.D.R.</th>
<th>Total number of patients with A.D.R. (No. of patients receiving the drug)</th>
<th>Percentage of A.D.R.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calcium channel blockers</td>
<td>Amlodipine</td>
<td>Pedal edema (3) General edema (2) Giddiness (1) Headache (1) Abdominal pain (1) Hypotension (2)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>34.78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-blockers</td>
<td>Atenolol Metoprolol Nebivolol</td>
<td>Headache (1) Xerostomia (1) Bronchospasm (1) Pedal edema (1)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>26.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACEIs</td>
<td>Enalapril</td>
<td>Dry cough (2) Xerostomia (1) Taste alteration (1)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17.39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARBs</td>
<td>Losartan Telmisartan Olmesartan</td>
<td>Edema (1) Headache (1)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diuretics</td>
<td>Frusemide Spironolactone</td>
<td>Hypotension (1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.D.Rs. due to concomitant drugs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statins</td>
<td>Atorvastatin Pravastatin Rosuvastatin</td>
<td>Pain in upper abdomen (1) vomiting (1)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other vitamin preparation</td>
<td>Omega 3 fatty acid preparations</td>
<td>Gastrointestinal disturbances (1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analgesics</td>
<td>Aceclofenac Diclofenac Diacerein</td>
<td>Swelling of face (1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drugs for LVF treatment</td>
<td>Diclofenac</td>
<td>Nausea (1) Diarrhea (1)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A.D.R.: Adverse drug reaction, ARBs: Angiotensin receptor blockers, ACEI: Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor
active substance from the same pharmacological group (depending on patients’ tolerability), or by adding more active substances from different pharmacological groups in lower dosages and combined pills, to achieve the B.P. goals. Furthermore, in case of patients’ non-compliance, the use of combined slow release regimen it was preferred.

Among the different A.D.Rs. reported from different systems due to antihypertensive medications, most of the A.D.Rs. were of central nervous system origin, followed by cardiovascular system, and gastrointestinal and respiratory system.

CONCLUSION
Out of 120 patients, 23 patients developed A.D.Rs. The age group 51–60 years being more vulnerable showed higher incidence and outnumbered the patients in other group who developed A.D.Rs. As compared to females, there were more males with A.D.Rs. because male constituted more of our sample population. Out of 23 A.D.Rs. recorded, percent A.D.R. frequency was seen maximum in patients prescribed CCBs that is 34.78%. Maximum number of the patients developing A.D.Rs. came up with adverse effects related to central nervous system such as headache and giddiness. On assessment of causality through Naranjo’s algorithm, maximum of the ADRs have been categorized under "probable" which is being followed by category of "possible" (56%; 30%). Furthermore, the most common comorbidities along with hypertension were dyslipidemia and C.H.D, as well as the most frequently used drug groups were anti-hyperlipidemic, BBs, anticoagulant-antiplatelet, and ARBs. More specific from the point of active substances atorvastatin, metoprolol, aspirin, and telmisartan in different combinations, doses, and dosage schemes seems to be the most favorable prescribed drugs.

Furthermore, any appearance of A.D.Rs. due to side effects of the drugs or due to bad control and patients non-compliance, it was treated mainly by decreasing the doses of the drugs, switching them to another active substance from the same pharmacological group, or by adding more active substances from different pharmacological groups in lower dosages to achieve the B.P. goals.
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